

Epidemiology and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* in a Greek tertiary-care hospital

Melina Kachrimanidou¹, Petra Malliou², Georgios Meletis³, Foteini Netsika³, Ilias Mavrovouniotis³, Efthymia Protonotariou³, LEMONIA SKOURA³

¹First Department of Microbiology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Medical School, Thessaloniki, Greece;

²First Propedeutic Department of General Surgery, AHEPA University Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece;

³Department of Microbiology, AHEPA University Hospital, S. Kiriakidi str. 1, 54636, Thessaloniki, Greece

SUMMARY

Staphylococcus lugdunensis is considered more pathogenic than other coagulase-negative Staphylococci (CoNS), with its virulence resembling that of *Staphylococcus aureus*. We report a retrospective study of all *S. lugdunensis* infection cases during a 3.5-year period in a large tertiary university hospital in Greece. *S. lugdunensis* was susceptible to most tested antibiotics, although a high resistance percentage was found to clindamycin (27%) and erythromycin (25%). The susceptibility rate to penicillin was 49%, much lower than previously reported elsewhere, indicating that penicillin may not be an optimal treatment choice for *S. lugdunensis* infections in our region.

Received January 29, 2020

Accepted May 05, 2020

Coagulase-negative Staphylococci (CoNS) are typically less virulent for healthy humans than *Staphylococcus aureus*. However, compared to other CoNS, *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* appears to be more virulent, implicated in severe infections such as native and prosthetic valve infective endocarditis, bloodstream, urinary tract, skin and soft tissue infections as well as device-related infections in both healthy and immunocompromised individuals (Frank *et al.*, 2008). The incidence of *S. lugdunensis* infections to date is rising, and thus it is considered an emerging pathogen especially in hospital settings (Argemi *et al.*, 2017). The clinical importance of *S. lugdunensis* might be attributed to the severity of the aforementioned infections and to the presence of several virulence determinants such as adhesion factors, proteolytic enzymes and biofilm production (Archer *et al.*, 2011; Heilbronner *et al.*, 2013; Foster *et al.*, 2017).

S. lugdunensis isolates are usually susceptible to most anti-staphylococcal antibiotics, but show variable resistance levels to penicillin and oxacillin. Methicillin and β -lactam resistance in Staphylococci are mediated by the *mecA* and *mecC* genes, which encode for the PBP2a protein that has low affinity for β -lactam antibiotics. However, *S. lugdunensis* β -lactam resistance is more frequently due to the presence of a penicillinase encoded by the *blaZ* gene (Taha *et al.*, 2019). According to CLSI, *S. aureus* and *S. lugdunensis* have identical clinical breakpoints, higher than those of other CoNS. Regarding these two species, oxacillin MIC values of >2 mg/L are mostly indicative of methicillin re-

sistance due to the presence of the *mecA* gene. The corresponding MIC for other CoNS is >0.25 mg/L, because this breakpoint correctly indicates most CoNS with the *mecA* gene whereas it would overcall resistance for *S. lugdunensis* (Hussain *et al.*, 2000). Moreover, it has also been shown that commercial semi-automated systems are often problematic regarding the determination of penicillin and oxacillin MICs in *S. lugdunensis* (Mateo *et al.*, 2005) as well as for the detection of inducible clindamycin resistance in Staphylococci (Bohenchik *et al.*, 2014).

The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the incidence and microbiological aspects of *S. lugdunensis* infections at a tertiary university hospital. More precisely, we aimed to evaluate the incidence of *S. lugdunensis* infections over a 3.5-year period, to assess the susceptibility rates of 55 *S. lugdunensis* isolates to 19 antimicrobial agents and to review the clinical spectrum of these infections.

All 55 *S. lugdunensis* recovered from hospitalized patients at AHEPA University Hospital from January 2014 to July 2017 were included in the study. AHEPA is a 700-bed tertiary care teaching hospital that includes several surgical and internal medicine departments as well as three intensive care units (ICUs; general, surgical and cardio surgical). The information regarding the related infections was recovered retrospectively by reviewing the hospital's medical data.

Bacterial identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing were performed using the VITEK2 automated system (bioMérieux, France). Isolates were defined as susceptible, intermediate or resistant according to the CLSI 2017 guidelines. MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ were calculated for each antibiotic using descriptive statistics on SPSS 21.0.

During the study period, *S. lugdunensis* were isolated from blood (55%); skin and soft tissues (24%); catheters (7%); sterile body fluids (9%) and urine (5%), representing 2.9% of all CoNS positive cultures (55 out of 1892). Twelve out

Key words:

Staphylococcus lugdunensis; CoNS; Greece.

Corresponding author:

Georgios Meletis MD, MSc, PhD,
E-mail: meletisg@hotmail.com

of 55 (22%), were polymicrobial infections (>1 organism isolated), whereas 43 out of 55 (78%) were monomicrobial with *S. lugdunensis* as the single isolated species. The average patient age was 62 years and 58% were male. Infections of *S. lugdunensis* were more frequent in the internal medicine departments and were mainly related to blood-stream infections.

All isolates were susceptible to vancomycin, teicoplanin, rifampicin, linezolid, tigecycline, and tetracycline. Ninety one percent were susceptible to ciprofloxacin, 89% to fosfomicin and imipenem and 82% to oxacillin. Higher resistance rates were found for clindamycin (27%) and erythromycin (25%). The susceptibility rate to penicillin was 49%.

MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ of penicillin, oxacillin, clindamycin, ciprofloxacin, vancomycin, teicoplanin and linezolid are presented in Table 1. This susceptibility pattern is quite different from that of other CoNS species isolated at our hospital (*Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* and *Staphylococcus hominis*), greater than 80% of which were resistant to penicillin, oxacillin and fluoroquinolones.

Among the 28 penicillin-resistant isolates, the majority (97%) presented penicillin MICs of ≥ 0.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, whereas only 3% had a MIC of 0.25 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Conversely, oxacillin susceptibility was high (82%), also presenting low MICs (≤ 2 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) that indicate for susceptibility to both oxacillin and ceftoxitin.

Frank *et al.* proposed that *S. lugdunensis* should be considered different from other CoNS, due to clinical, microbiological and genetic evidence that distinguish *S. lugdunensis* in terms of virulence and antimicrobial susceptibility (Frank *et al.*, 2008). Although CoNS tend to have a more latent pathogenicity, *S. lugdunensis* in particular is rather a pathogenic species with high virulence potential similar to *S. aureus* especially when involved in blood stream infections.

Since *S. lugdunensis* can be located to almost all cutaneous areas, skin and soft tissue infections are common sites for its isolation. On the contrary, in our study *S. lugdunensis* was mostly isolated from blood specimens, concerning bloodstream infections. Even though rates of true blood stream infections range from 10 to 25% in cases of CoNS

isolation from blood cultures, with endocarditis being a rare event (Beekmann *et al.*, 2005), previous reports of *S. lugdunensis* isolated from the blood stream have emphasized the invasive potential of the species (Kleiner *et al.*, 2010).

Usually, *S. lugdunensis* present low resistance rates to aminoglycosides, macrolides, quinolones, tetracyclines, rifampicin, and fusidic acid (Taha *et al.*, 2019; Yen *et al.*, 2016). Although they are commonly susceptible to daptomycin, vancomycin, teicoplanin, and linezolid, constant surveillance of the antimicrobial resistance pattern of *S. lugdunensis* needs to be continuous. Overall, in our study the majority of the antimicrobials tested showed very good activity against *S. lugdunensis* with the exception of penicillin, oxacillin, clindamycin and erythromycin. Moreover, increased penicillin resistance was observed in blood isolates compared to that in isolates from skin, wound and tissue specimens. However, resistance levels reported from other parts of the world indicate the emergence of resistance. Yen *et al.*, reported *S. lugdunensis* resistance to penicillin and oxacillin of 87% and 20%, respectively, in Taiwan (Yen *et al.*, 2016), while a study from the USA reported 45% resistance of *S. lugdunensis* to penicillin (Kleiner *et al.*, 2010). Resistance to macrolides is still rare and sporadically reported from other parts of the world (Herchline *et al.*, 1990; Liu *et al.*, 2012). However, previous studies from Greece reported a few resistant isolates in Athens (Papapetropoulos *et al.*, 2013) and an 18% resistance rate to erythromycin and clindamycin among 35 isolates collected from 2008 to 2013 in Athens and Patras (Giormezis *et al.*, 2014).

Our study has a limitation regarding the recovery of clinical data, since many medical records were unavailable by the time of its realization. This limitation could be addressed in the retrospective form of our study. Nevertheless, three documented cases of infective endocarditis and four others with significant underlying disease including renal failure and malignancy were identified. In one of the three infective endocarditis cases, *S. aureus* was also present, probably acting as a co-pathogen. Overall, ten patients died during their hospitalization; however, it was not possible to determine the exact role of *S. lugdunensis* infections in these deaths. Another limitation of our study is

Table 1 - Antimicrobial susceptibility data of 55 *S. lugdunensis* isolates and cumulative susceptibility rates of all other CoNS during the study period.

Antimicrobial agent	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC range ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	Susceptibility rates	Susceptibility rates of other CoNS
Penicillin	≤ 0.12	≥ 0.25	$\leq 0.12 - \geq 0.25$	49%	6%
Oxacillin	≤ 2	≥ 4	$\leq 2 - \geq 4$	82%	21%
Clindamycin	≤ 0.5	≥ 4	$\leq 0.5 - \geq 4$	73%	36%
Ciprofloxacin	≤ 1	≤ 1	$\leq 1 - \geq 4$	91%	45%
Vancomycin	≤ 4	≤ 4	$\leq 4 - \geq 32$	100%	100%
Teicoplanin	≤ 8	≤ 8	$\leq 8 - \geq 32$	100%	100%
Daptomycin	≤ 1	≤ 1	$\leq 1 - \geq 8$	100%	100%
Linezolid	≤ 4	≤ 4	$\leq 4 - \geq 8$	100%	97.5%
Tigecycline	≤ 0.12	≤ 0.12	$\leq 0.12 - \geq 2$	100%	100%
Moxifloxacin	≤ 0.5	≤ 0.5	$\leq 0.5 - \geq 2$	100%	85.4%
Erythromycin	≤ 0.5	≥ 8	$\leq 0.5 - \geq 8$	75%	32.5%
Quinopristin-Dalfopristin	≤ 1	≤ 1	$\leq 1 - \geq 4$	100%	99%
Imipenem	1	1	$\leq 0.12 - \geq 4$	82%	21%
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	$\leq 2/38$	$\leq 2/38$	$\leq 2/38 - \geq 4/76$	95%	72%

the assessment of penicillin, oxacillin and clindamycin MICs by the Vitek2 system, which is not the optimal method for their determination (Mateo *et al.*, 2005; Bohenchik *et al.*, 2014) and could to some extent influence the importance of our findings. Especially for penicillin G, it has recently been shown that the disc diffusion method according to EUCAST is the best-performing method for *S. lugdunensis* isolates (Hagstrand *et al.*, 2020).

The pathogenic potential of *S. lugdunensis* and the recommendation of CLSI to use the same breakpoints with *S. aureus* underline the importance of its identification and the surveillance of its resistance rates to antibiotics. Our findings advocate the implementation of the EUCAST disc diffusion method for penicillin susceptibility testing of confirmed *S. lugdunensis* in our region before excluding penicillin as a treatment option. Prudent use of antibiotics is therefore essential to maintain enough treatment alternatives for *S. lugdunensis* in the long run.

References

- Archer N.K., Mazaitis M.J., Costerton J.W., Leid J.G., Powers M.E., Shirtliff M.E. (2011). Properties, regulation and roles in human disease *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms. *Virulence*, **2**, 445-459.
- Argemi X., Hansmann Y., Riegel P., Prevost G. (2017). Is *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* significant in clinical samples? *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **55**, 3167-3174.
- Beekmann S.E., Diekema D.J., Doern G.V. (2005). Determining the clinical significance of coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from blood cultures. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* **26**, 559-566.
- Bobenchik A.M., Hindler J.A., Giltner C.L., Saeki S., Humphries R.M. (2014). Performance of Vitek 2 for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Staphylococcus* spp. and *Enterococcus* spp. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **52**, 392-397.
- Foster T.J., Geoghegan J.A., Ganesh V.K., Höök M. (2014). Adhesion, invasion and evasion: the many functions of the surface proteins of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **12**, 49-62.
- Frank K.L., Pozo L., Patel R. (2008). From Clinical Microbiology to infection pathogenesis: How daring to be different works for *Staphylococcus lugdunensis*. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **21**, 111-133.
- Giormezis N., Kolonitsiou F., Makri A., Vogiatzi A., Christofidou M., *et al.* (2015). Virulence factors among *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* are associated with infection sites and clonal spread. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **34**, 773-778.
- Hagstrand Aldman M., Pahlman L.I. (2020). Evaluation of penicillin G susceptibility testing methods for *Staphylococcus lugdunensis*. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* [Epub ahead of print]
- Heilbronner S., Hanses F., Monk I.R., Speziale P., Foster T.J. (2013). Sortase A promotes virulence in experimental *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* endocarditis. *Microbiology*. **159**, 2141-2152.
- Herchline T.E., Barnishan J., Ayers L.W., Fass R.J. (1990). Penicillinase production and in vitro susceptibilities of *Staphylococcus lugdunensis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **34**, 2434-2435.
- Hussain Z., Stoakes L., Massey V., Diagre D.E.B., Fitzgerald V., *et al.* (2000). Correlation of oxacillin MIC with *mecA* gene carriage in Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **38**, 752-754.
- Kleiner E., Monk A.B., Archer G.L., Forbes B.A. (2010). Clinical Significance of *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* isolated from routine cultures. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **51**, 801-803.
- Liu C., Shen D., Guo J., Wang K., Wang H., Yan Z., Chen R., Ye L. (2012). Clinical and microbiological characterization of *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* isolates obtained from clinical specimens in a hospital in China. *BMC Microbiol.* **12**, 168.
- Mateo M., Maestre J.R., Aguilar L., Cafini F., Puente P., Sanchez P., *et al.* (2005). Genotypic versus phenotypic characterization, with respect to susceptibility and identification, of 17 clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus lugdunensis*. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **56**, 287-291.
- Papapetropoulos N., Papapetropoulou M., Vantarakis A. (2013). Abscesses and wound infections due to *Staphylococcus lugdunensis*: report of 16 cases. *Infection*. **41**, 525-528.
- Taha L., Stegger M., Söderquist B. (2019). *Staphylococcus lugdunensis*: antimicrobial susceptibility and optimal treatment options. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **38**, 1449-1455.
- Yen T., Sung Y., Lin H., Peng C.T., Tien N., Hwang K.P., Lu J.J. (2016). Emergence of oxacillin-resistant *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* carrying staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* type V in central Taiwan. *J. Microbiol. Immunol. Infect.* **49**, 885-891.